

NASA LAW, October 25-28, 2010, Gatlinburg

## Galactic Neighborhood and Laboratory Astrophysics

Q. Daniel Wang

*Astronomy Department, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003*

wqd@astro.umass.edu

### ABSTRACT

The galactic neighborhood, extending from the Milky Way to redshifts of about 0.1, is our unique local laboratory for detailed study of galaxies and their interplay with the environment. Such study provides a foundation of knowledge for interpreting observations of more distant galaxies and their environment. The Astro 2010 Science Frontier Galactic Neighborhood Panel identified four key scientific questions: 1) What are the flows of matter and energy in the circumgalactic medium? 2) What controls the mass-energy-chemical cycles within galaxies? 3) What is the fossil record of galaxy assembly from first stars to present? 4) What are the connections between dark and luminous matter? These questions, essential to the understanding of galaxies as interconnected complexes, can be addressed most effectively and/or uniquely in the galactic neighborhood. The panel also highlighted the discovery potential of time-domain astronomy and astrometry with powerful new techniques and facilities to greatly advance our understanding of the precise connections among stars, galaxies, and newly discovered transient events. The relevant needs for laboratory astrophysics will be emphasized, especially in the context of supporting NASA missions.

### 1. Introduction

The Galactic Neighborhood (GAN) Panel was charged to identify key scientific questions (as well as a potential major discovery area), which could be effectively addressed in the upcoming decade, regarding galaxies and their surroundings out to redshifts  $z \approx 0.1$ . This local volume of the universe contains a diverse array of objects (e.g., galaxies of vastly different masses, morphologies, and star formation rates). But the basic constituents of the GAN objects may be divided into three classes: 1) stars (including their remnants);

2) gaseous systems [the interstellar medium (ISM), circumgalactic medium (CGM), and intergalactic medium (IGM)]; 3) dark components [massive black holes (MBHs), typically seen at centers of galaxies, and dark matter]. The study of the interconnection among these constituents is a key part of the GAN science.

Why is the GAN science important in astronomy and astrophysics? The GAN is where astronomical phenomena can be examined in great detail. Because of their proximity, objects can be observed with unparalleled sensitivities, on small physical scales, across the electromagnetic spectrum, and within a relatively well-determined galactic or intergalactic environment. For example, stellar populations can be resolved into individual stars only in the GAN. Such observations are often necessary in order to firmly identify underlying astrophysical processes and, occasionally, even new physics (e.g., the discovery of dark matter around galaxies). The understanding of astronomical phenomena and astrophysical processes in the GAN thus represents the cornerstone for properly interpreting observations of distant universe. The GAN further provides a test bed to check the validity of various assumptions, approximations, or recipes that are often needed in modeling/simulating the structure formation and evolution of the universe. Locally calibrated empirical relations (such as the peak to width relation of Type Ia SN light-curves and star formation laws) are also very useful tools for studying distant galaxies. Moreover, local measurements (e.g., star formation rates and total stellar masses of galaxies) provide important anchors in determining how the universe has evolved.

The panel strived to identify key science questions that the GAN can potentially offer the most powerful and unique constraints to. In doing so, a broad range of questions were synthesized and ranked without regard for cost, current agency plan, or specific proposed instrumentation, although the panel was mindful of various limitations, both technical and financial. The four embraced questions, as well as the two identified potential discovery areas, exploit the use of the GAN as a venue for studying interconnected astrophysical systems, for constraining complex physical processes, and for probing small scales.

High signal-to-noise observations, which can often be obtained for GAN objects, need to be interpreted with correspondingly accurate physical data. They are sometimes best obtained by experiment, and sometimes by theoretical calculation, jointly referred to as “laboratory astrophysics”. Its importance is highlighted in the summary of the GAN Panel Report (2010): “The prospects for advances in the coming decade are especially exciting in these four areas, particularly if supported by a comprehensive program of theory and numerical calculation, together with laboratory astrophysical measurements or calculations.” In the following, I briefly describe my interpretation of the laboratory astrophysics needs as well as the questions/discovery areas, particularly with consideration of the observing capabilities

likely available from existing and upcoming NASA missions.

## 2. Questions, Discovery Areas, and Laboratory Astrophysics Needs

### **Q1: What are the flows of matter and energy in the circumgalactic medium?**

How a galaxy evolves depends largely on how it interacts with its environment. Cosmological simulations have hinted that the accretion of matter onto a galaxy can have various different modes: “hot”, “cold”, or “recycled wind”, depending primarily on galaxy mass. The biggest uncertainty in this emerging picture of galaxy formation and evolution is our poor understanding of galactic feedback. A number of feedback mechanisms have been proposed, ranging from pre-heating by the extragalactic UV background generated collectively by early star formation and AGN activities, to *in situ* momentum- and/or energy-driven superwinds from starbursts and/or AGNs, and to long-lasting gentle outflows powered by Type Ia supernovae in galactic spheroids (e.g., Wang (2010) and references therein). However, none of these mechanisms are well understood. While observations have shown strong evidence for infall (accretion) and outflow (feedback) of matter around galaxies, little is yet known about how mass, energy, and chemical elements actually circulate between galaxies and the environment. The CGM — the galaxy/IGM interface where this circulation occurs — thus needs to be carefully studied in order to answer such fundamental questions as: where is the “missing” baryon matter in galaxies? how are they fed? and how does galactic feedback work?

In the GAN, it is possible to directly observe the working of the CGM, which may extend from a few kpc up to about 1 Mpc around galaxies (e.g., Fig. 1a). Two effective observational strategies: UV/X-ray absorption-line tomography and spectral imaging, have been demonstrated, primarily in the study of gas in and around the Milky Way (for a review, see Wang (2010)). To obtain transformative gains, however, these techniques need to be applied to more targets at better velocity resolution and over a broad temperature range. Such observations at wavelengths most sensitive to the mass, energy, and key element flows will help to remove uncertainties in simulations of galaxy formation and evolution, which currently lack the resolution required for direct modeling of all physical processes.

### **Q2: What controls the mass-energy-chemical cycles within galaxies?**

To understand such cycles, we need to study the ISM and its interplay with stars inside galaxies. The scale considered here ranges from kpc (spiral structure), to parsecs (giant molecular clouds, starbursts, and star clusters), and down to the sub-pc level where individual stars form. First, we need to measure ISM conditions that control the molecular cloud



heating and cooling processes in the ISM and CGM, especially in (sub)millimeter/infrared and X-ray, which upcoming observing facilities with high spectral resolution capabilities (e.g., ALMA, JWST and Astro-H) will be sensitive to. To make full use of the UV/X-ray spectroscopic data, for example, it is important to complete the measurements of radiative rates, electron-impact excitation rates, ionization rates, and dielectronic recombination rates as well as the listing of important emission and absorption lines of collisional plasma (e.g., Fe XVII). At present, many lines (even Fe L-shell) are still not identified. Line energies from theories are typically only good to  $\sim 700 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which is not sufficient for accurate velocity measurements of starburst-driven outflows. For such measurements, we also need better identifications of satellite lines, which could otherwise lead to confusion with Doppler broadening. Moreover, it is essential to investigate processes involved in the interaction between plasma and cool gas, such as charge exchange, which may be responsible for much of diffuse soft X-ray emission observed in galaxies (e.g., Fig. 1b). In addition, data on cosmic-ray heating of the ISM and CGM (e.g., the proton impact excitation cross sections) need to be substantially improved. It is reasonable to suspect that PAHs might account for the diffuse interstellar bands, but only careful measurement of PAH absorption cross sections in the gas phase in the laboratory can confirm this. Laboratory measurements are also needed of photoelectric yields from dust grains over a range of sizes, including PAHs. Various other examples for the required improvements in understanding the important “microphysics” (e.g., on MHD, plasma, and shock waves) are given in the panel report.

### **Q3: What is the fossil record of galaxy assembly from first stars to present?**

One way to probe how galaxies actually come to be is to study the evolution of their properties by looking back in time. However, inevitably limited by the angular resolution and sensitivity of observing distant universe, this look-back approach relies on the measurements of globally-averaged properties (such as luminosity and color of galaxies). The approach can be complemented by examining stellar fossil record in local galaxies. One can read in the color-magnitude diagrams (CMD) of resolved stellar populations to determine star formation histories, which can be associated with such galaxy properties as gas content, environment, and morphology. Even internal patterns can be examined, such as the relationship of stellar populations with spiral arms or as a function of galactocentric distance. One can further spatially and kinematically probe substructures in the galactic halos of the Milky Way and other local galaxies, unraveling stellar streams and dwarf satellite galaxies. Such studies can provide critical information about how gas collapses and forms stars down to these small physical scales and faint luminosities, as well as about the merger histories of the galaxies, illuminating the process of galaxy formation more generally. In addition, one can measure metallicity of individual stars, particularly interesting for tracing extremely metal-poor stars formed in earliest epochs. All these fossil record studies can only be done in the GAN!

With the expected improvements in optical and near-IR imaging/spectroscopy capabilities over the next decade, substantial progress can be made in this field. One will be able to determine the star-formation histories of galaxies across the Hubble sequence, to detect a significant sample of the smallest galaxies, and to measure precise abundances for elements from all the important nucleosynthetic processes that act in stars, from which much information can be obtained about the population of stars that produced the metals. With these measurements, one can potentially address such questions as: How old are the oldest stars in the Milky Way? Where are the lowest metallicity stars in the Milky Way and when did they form? Did the IMF vary with metallicity and galactic conditions? Can chemical tagging of metal-poor stars be used to identify coeval populations, later dispersed around the galaxy? The enormous potential of the fossil record to probe galaxy assembly from first stars to present will then be realized in the next decade or so.

#### **Q4: What are the connections between dark and luminous matter?**

While the cold dark matter ( $\Lambda$ CDM) paradigm has passed many serious tests, there are still apparent conflicts between the predictions and observations on scales of kpc or smaller. Potential resolution of these conflicts has been complicated by the uncertain interplay between dark and baryon matters. This confusion should be minimal in lower-mass galaxies, which appear to be increasingly dominated by dark matter. Such galaxies, observable in the local universe, can readily be identified from future large-scale, deep, multi-color, photometric surveys of stars, together with follow-up spectroscopy. A substantially increased local inventory of small galaxies will enable us to confront the well-known “missing satellites” problem. The best place to look for the signature of weakly interacting dark matter (via possible  $\gamma$ -ray and/or X-ray radiation from self-annihilation or decay) is the heart of ultra-faint dwarf galaxies, because of the high central densities and minimum astrophysical confusion from proportionally fewer stars. Dark matter distributions on galaxy scales can also be explored in multiple ways, ranging from studying kinematics of stars and gas to mapping properties of diffuse hot gas in hydrostatic equilibrium. Particularly interesting are the distribution and kinematics of dark matter within the Milky Way at the solar circle, providing constraints useful to the *direct* detection experiments.

MBHs play an increasingly important position in astronomy and astrophysics. However, how MBHs form and evolve is still a question that remains to be answered; we are still very uncertain about their seeds ( $\sim 10^2 M_\odot$  stellar remnants,  $10^4 M_\odot$  “intermediate-mass” BHs from Pop III stars, or  $10^5 M_\odot$  MBHs from direct collapses of matter), about their merger history, and about the accretion process. The formation and evolution of MBHs are intimately related to their interplay with host galaxies. The most apparent manifestation of this interplay is the mass relation between MBHs and surrounding stellar spheroids. Large

uncertainties in the relation remain, however, especially at the low-mass end, where the presence of nuclear stellar clusters may play a central role. MBHs are also known to be an important source of galaxy feedback, although the efficiency remains very uncertain at low-accretion rates. The coupling between this feedback and surrounding matter is also poorly understood. The local universe offers the most promising avenues to advance our understanding of MBHs and their interplay with galaxies. Advances in spatial resolution (adaptive optics systems) and sensitivity (larger telescopes) will enhance the most used techniques for measuring MBH masses and for studying stellar properties. The nuclei of the Milky Way galaxy and several other nearby galaxies provide our best opportunity to observe the interaction of MBHs with their immediate environments. Many fascinating questions remain to be fully addressed, regarding the formation and dynamics of stars under extreme hostile condition. X-ray observations with large collecting areas and good spectral resolutions will be essential to the study of the accretion process. Interesting new constraints on the process can also be obtained from the spins of MBHs, which can be measured over a wide mass range for local galaxies. Furthermore, one may find potential seed black holes through dynamical studies of nearby systems and through the possibility of measuring gravitational waves of black-hole inspiral events. Detection of gravitational waves will in general open up a new avenue for characterizing the demographics and merger rate of black holes.

#### **Laboratory Needs for Q3 and Q4:**

The star formation history and metal abundance measurements clearly depend on our knowledge about stellar evolution, which in turn relies on the accuracy of laboratory astrophysics data on nucleosynthesis, opacity, etc. There remain significant rooms for improvements in the quality of such data (e.g.,  $^{12}\text{C}(\alpha, \gamma)^{16}\text{O}$  reaction rate and  $\beta$ -decay lifetime for many r-process isotopes). A true comprehension of dark matter ultimately requires a direct detection in laboratory, while the modeling of the accretion and feedback of BHs demands a good understanding of important plasma astrophysical processes, particularly the magneto-rotational instability and magnetic reconnection.

#### **Discovery Areas**

The time-domain astronomy is identified as a major GAN discovery area, chiefly because enormous swaths of parameter space remain to be explored. Large-scale, multiple-epoch surveys, together with ever increasing computational capability and algorithm development, make the transient sky an area particularly ripe for discoveries of new objects and/or physical processes. The GAN is particularly suited for such discoveries, because measurements of the distance, energetics, rates, and demographics of newly observed phenomena, as well as their associations with stellar populations and galactic structure, is the first essential step in understanding the underlying physics.

Astrometry is considered to be another area with exceptional discovery potential. A variety of powerful astrometric techniques [radio, (sub)mm VLBI, time-resolved large optical surveys] are now reaching maturity to open a new window for the discovery of vast numbers of extrasolar planets, Kuiper Belt objects, asteroids, and comets; to test the weak-field limit of general relativity with unprecedented precision (for the MBH at the Milky Way center); to measure the aberration of quasars from the centripetal acceleration of the Sun by the galaxy; to provide a complete inventory of stars near the Sun; to measure orbits of the globular clusters and satellite galaxies of the Milky Way and galaxies of the Local Group; and to fix properties of the major stellar components of the Milky Way.

### 3. Summary

While progress in addressing the four science questions and in the areas of discovery potential can be made with existing and upcoming facilities, reaching the full science goals will require powerful new observing capabilities: Imaging/spectroscopy abilities in UV and X-ray will be essential to the understanding of the interconnected, multiphase nature of galaxies and their surroundings, while enhanced capability at longer wavelengths from ratio to optical will be particularly important to probing the processes that transform accreted gas into stars, to measuring the fossil record, and to finding the connections between dark and luminous matter. In many of these efforts, the laboratory astrophysics can play a significant or even essential role!

Astro2010 Frontier Science Galactic Neighborhood Panel consisted of Leo Blitz, Julianne Dalcanton, Bruce Draine, Rob Fesen, Karl Gebhardt, Juna Kollmeier, Crystal Martin, Michael Shull, Jason Tumlinson, Q. Daniel Wang, Dennis Zaritsky, and Steve Zepf, plus Astro2010 Survey Science Liaison, Scott Tremaine. I thank Mike Shull, the chair of the panel, for various inputs and comments on this write-up.

### REFERENCES

- Astro2010 Panel Reports – New Worlds, New Horizons in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2010, [http://sites.nationalacademies.org/BPA/BPA\\_048094](http://sites.nationalacademies.org/BPA/BPA_048094)
- Liu, J., Wang, Q. D., Li, Z.-Y., & Peterson, J. R. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1879
- Wang, Q. D., et al. 2001, ApJL, 555, 99
- Wang, Q. D. 2010, PNAS, 107, 7168



